

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/695,548	10/28/2003	David E. Wenstrup	2800A	5462
75	590 11/22/2004		EXAMINER	
John E. Vick, Jr.			COLE, ELIZABETH M	
Legal Department, M-495 PO Box 1926			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Spartanburg, S	C 29304		1771	
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/695,548	WENSTRUP, DAVID E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a religious in the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON as cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 S</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11 and 13-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11,13-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Ap rity documents have been r ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sqrt{1} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	Mail Date Domal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1771

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 11, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fehrer, U.S. Patent No. 5,511,294 in view of JP 04-185755 as set forth in paragraph 2 of the previous office action.
- 3. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fehrer, U.S. Patent No. 5,51,294 in view of JP 04-185755 as applied to claims 11, 13-16 above, and further in view of Weinle et al, U.S. Patent No. 4,840,832. Neither Fehrer nor JP '755 teach employing both a polyester conjugated fiber and a polyester staple fiber. Weinle teaches that employing polyester for both fibers in a needled, molded material further enhances the stability of the needled and molded material. See col. 2, lines 9-17 and col. 3, line 56 col. 4, line 37. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed polyester staple fibers instead of the polypropylene fibers of JP '755, motivated by the expectation that this would further enhance the stability of the finished material.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/8/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Fehrer does not teach the specifically claimed angle or range of angles but instead teaches that adjusting the angle "for achieving a predetermined effect" without stating what the predetermined effect which is desired is. However, needling is known in the art as a process by which fibers are entangled and fibrous materials are densified. Therefore, by changing the angle the needles enter and leave the fibrous material, the properties of the fabric can be controlled. The results of

Application/Control Number: 10/695,548 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

needling are not unpredictable but are instead well known, therefore, while Fehrer does not teach the particularly claimed angles, Fehrer does provide a motivation for selecting the particularly claimed angles through the process of routine experimentation in order to arrive at a needled fabric which has the desired degree of entanglement, strength, density etc., in particular regions of the fabric.

- 5. With regard to the particularly claimed fibers, Fehrer is not limited to any particular fibers. JP '755 and the newly applied Weinle reference are cited as teaching that blends which comprise conjugate fibers with a polyester sheath and either polypropylene staple fibers or polyester staple fibers can be needled and then molded. The motivation to combine the references is found in the fact that both JP '755 and Weinle teach blends of fibers which may be needled and then molded into stable fibrous materials.
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (703) 872-9306.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

e.m.c